



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Water Research

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/watres](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/watres)

## Corrigendum to “A highly specific Escherichia coli qPCR and its comparison with existing methods for environmental waters” [Water Res. 126, 101–110]

David I. Walker<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jonathan McQuillan<sup>b</sup>, Michael Taiwo<sup>a</sup>, Rachel Parks<sup>a</sup>,  
Craig A. Stenton<sup>a</sup>, Hywel Morgan<sup>c</sup>, Matthew C. Mowlem<sup>b</sup>, David N. Lees<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Weymouth Laboratory, Weymouth, Dorset, UK

<sup>b</sup> National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, Hampshire, UK

<sup>c</sup> School of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

It has been brought to our attention that there is an error in the Methods, section 2.6 “Real-time PCR”. The article as published states “Each reaction contained 12.5 µl of IQ SYBR Supermix containing reaction buffer, dNTPs, Taq polymerase and SYBR Green II DNA binding dye (Biorad), 9.5 µl of RT-PCR grade water (Agilent), 1 ml of each primer (final concentration 5 mM) and 1 ml of template DNA at 100 ng/µl; the final volume was 25 µl.”.

However this should be “Each reaction contained 12.5 µl of IQ SYBR Supermix containing reaction buffer, dNTPs, Taq polymerase and SYBR Green II DNA binding dye (Biorad), 9.5 µl of RT-PCR grade water (Agilent), 1 µl of each primer (final concentration 0.4 µM) and 1 µl of template DNA at 100 ng/µl; the final volume was 25 µl.”

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.08.032>.

\* Corresponding author.